UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

,	Plaintiff,	
v.		Case No:
,		
	Defendant.	

CASE MANAGEMENT REPORT IN PATENT CASES

The parties have agreed on the following dates and discovery plan pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P.

26(f) and Local Rule 3.05(c):

DEADLINE OR EVENT	AGREED DATE
Mandatory Initial Disclosures (pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) as amended effective December 1, 2000) [Court recommends 30 days after CMR meeting]	
Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement [each party who has not previously filed must file immediately]	
Motions to Add Parties or to Amend Pleadings [Court recommends 1 - 2 months after CMR meeting]	
Disclosure of Infringement Contentions [1 month after CMR meeting]	
Disclosure of Non-Infringement and Invalidity Contentions [within 1 month of above]	
Initial Identification of Disputed Claim Terms [within 1 month of above]	
Proposed Claim Term Constructions [within 1 month of above]	
Joint Claim Construction Statement [within 2 weeks of above]	

DEADLINE OR EVENT	AGREED DATE
Technology Tutorial Conference [within 2-4 weeks of above] (if requested, insert date; otherwise, leave blank)	
Plaintiff's Claim Construction Brief [within 1 month of above]	
Defendant's Response Brief [within 1 month of above]	
Joint Pre-Hearing Statement [within 1 week of above]	
Claim Construction Hearing [within 3 weeks of above]	
Disclosure of Intent to Rely on Advice of Counsel as a Defense; Amendment of Infringement, Non-Infringement and Invalidity Contentions; and Disclosure of Expert Reports on Issues Where the Party Bears the Burden of Proof [no sooner than 3 months and no later than 5 months of above]	
Disclosure of Rebuttal Expert Reports [within 1 month of above]	
Fact Discovery Deadline [within 2 months of Amendments]	
Expert Discovery Deadline [within 1 month of above]	
Dispositive Motions, Daubert, and Markman Motions [Court requires 5 months or more before trial term begins]	
Meeting In Person to Prepare Joint Final Pretrial Statement [10 days before Joint Final Pretrial Statement]	
Joint Final Pretrial Statement (Including a Single Set of Jointly- Proposed Jury Instructions and Verdict Form, Voir Dire Questions, Witness Lists, Exhibit Lists with Objections on Approved Form) [Court recommends 6 weeks before Trial]	
All Other Motions Including Motions In Limine, Trial Briefs	
Trial Term Begins [Local Rule 3.05 (c)(2)(E) sets goal of trial within 2 years of filing complaint in all Track Two cases; trial term must not be less than 5 months after dispositive motions deadline (unless filing of such motions is waived); district judge trial terms begin on the first business day of each month; trials before magistrate judges will be set on a date certain after consultation with the parties]	

DEADLINE OR EVENT	AGREED DATE
Estimated Length of Trial [trial days]	
Jury / Non-Jury	
Mediation Deadline: Mediator: Address:	
Telephone:	
[Absent arbitration, mediation is mandatory; Court recommends either 2 - 3 months after CMR meeting, or just after discovery deadline. If the parties do not select a mediator in the CMR, the Court will appoint one from its List of Certified Mediators.]	
All Parties Consent to Proceed Before Magistrate Judge	Yes No
	Likely to Agree in Future
I. Meeting of Parties in Person	
Lead counsel must meet in person and not by telephone absent an order	er permitting otherwise.
Counsel will meet in the Middle District of Florida, unless counsel agree on a diff	erent location. Pursuant
to Local Rule 3.05(c)(2)(B) or (c)(3)(A), a meeting was held in person on	(date) at
(time) at (place) and was attended by:	
Name(s) Counsel for (if applic	able)

¹ A copy of the Local Rules may be viewed at http://www.flmd.uscourts.gov.

II. Pre-Discovery Initial Disclosures of Core Information

Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A)-(D) Disclosures

Fed. R. Civ. P. 26, as amended effective December 1, 2000, provides that these disclosures are mandatory in Track Two and Track Three cases, except as stipulated by the parties or otherwise ordered by the Court (the amendment to Rule 26 supersedes Middle District of Florida Local Rule 3.05, to the extent that Rule 3.05 opts out of the mandatory discovery requirements):

The parties ____ have exchanged ____ agree to exchange (check one) information described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A) - (D) ____ on ____ by (check one) _____ (date).

Below is a description of information disclosed or scheduled for disclosure, including electronically stored information as further described in Section III below.

III. Electronic Discovery

The parties have discussed issues relating to disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information ("ESI"), including Pre-Discovery Initial Disclosures of Core Information in Section II above,

____ No party anticipates the disclosure or discovery of ESI in this case;

___ One or more of the parties anticipate the disclosure or discovery of ESI in this case.

If disclosure or discovery of ESI is sought by any party from another party, then the following issues shall be discussed:²

A. The form or forms in which ESI should be produced.

and agree that (check one):

² See Generally: Rules Advisory Committee Notes to the 2006 Amendments to Rule 26 (f) and Rule 16.

- B. Nature and extent of the contemplated ESI disclosure and discovery, including specification of the topics for such discovery and the time period for which discovery will be sought.
- C. Whether the production of metadata is sought for any type of ESI, and if so, what types of metadata.
- D. The various sources of ESI within a party's control that should be searched for ESI, and whether either party has relevant ESI that it contends is not reasonably accessible under Rule 26(b)(2)(B), and if so, the estimated burden or costs of retrieving and reviewing that information.
- E. The characteristics of the party's information systems that may contain relevant ESI, including, where appropriate, the identity of individuals with special knowledge of a party's computer systems.
 - F. Any issues relating to preservation of discoverable ESI.
- G. Assertions of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation materials, including whether the parties can facilitate discovery by agreeing on procedures and, if appropriate, an Order under the Federal Rule of Evidence 502. If the parties agree that a protective order is needed, they shall attach a copy of the proposed order to the Case Management Report. The parties should attempt to agree on protocols that minimize the risk of waiver. Any protective order shall comply with Local Rule 1.09 and Section IV. F. below on Confidentiality Agreements.
- H. Whether the discovery of ESI should be conducted in phases, limited, or focused upon particular issues.

Please state if there are any areas of disagreement on these issues and, if so, summarize the parties' position on each:

If there are disputed issue	es specified above, or elsewhere in this report, then (check one):
One or more of the p	parties requests that a preliminary pre-trial conference under Rule 16 be
scheduled to discuss these issues	and explore possible resolutions. Although this will be a non-evidentiary
hearing, if technical ESI issues a	re to be addressed, the parties are encouraged to have their information
technology experts with them at t	he hearing.
If a preliminary pre-tri	al conference is requested, a motion shall also be filed pursuant to
Rule 16(a), Fed. R. Civ. P.	
All parties agree tha	t a hearing is not needed at this time because they expect to be able to
promptly resolve these disputes w	vithout assistance of the Court.
IV. Agreed Discovery Plan	for Plaintiffs and Defendants
A. Certificate of In	terested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement —
This Court has previously	y ordered each party, governmental party, intervenor, non-party movant,
and Rule 69 garnishee to file as	nd serve a Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure
Statement using a mandatory form	n. No party may seek discovery from any source before filing and serving
a Certificate of Interested Persons	and Corporate Disclosure Statement. A motion, memorandum, response,
or other paper—including emerge	ency motion—is subject to being denied or stricken unless the filing party
has previously filed and served in	ts Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement.
Any party who has not already fil	ed and served the required certificate is required to do so immediately.
Every party that has appe	eared in this action to date has filed and served a Certificate of Interested
Persons and Corporate Disclosure	e Statement, which remains current:
Yes	
No	Amended Certificate will be filed by (party) on
C	or before (date).

B. Discovery Not Filed —

The parties shall not file discovery materials with the Clerk except as provided in Local Rule 3.03. The Court encourages the exchange of discovery requests on diskette. *See* Local Rule 3.03 (f). The parties further agree as follows:

C. Limits on Discovery —

Absent leave of Court, the parties may take no more than ten depositions per side (not per party). Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a)(2)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 31(a)(2)(A); Local Rule 3.02(b). Absent leave of Court, the parties may serve no more than twenty-five interrogatories, including sub-parts. Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a); Local Rule 3.03(a). Absent leave of Court or stipulation of the parties each deposition is limited to one day of seven hours. Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(d)(2). The parties may agree by stipulation on other limits on discovery. The Court will consider the parties' agreed dates, deadlines, and other limits in entering the scheduling order. Fed. R. Civ. P. 29. In addition to the deadlines in the above table, the parties have agreed to further limit discovery as follows:

- 1. Depositions
- 2. Interrogatories

		3.	Document Requests
		4.	Requests to Admit
		5.	Supplementation of Discovery
	D.	Discox	very Deadline —
	D.	Discov	ery Deaumie —
	Each p	arty sha	ll timely serve discovery requests so that the rules allow for a response prior to the
discov	ery dead	dline. T	The Court may deny as untimely all motions to compel filed after the discovery

deadline. In addition, the parties agree as follows:

E. Disclosure of Expert Testimony —

On or before the dates set forth in the above table for the disclosure of expert reports, the parties agree to fully comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) and 26(e). Expert testimony on direct examination at trial will be limited to the opinions, basis, reasons, data, and other information disclosed in the written expert report disclosed pursuant to this order. Failure to disclose such information may result in the exclusion of all or part of the testimony of the expert witness. The parties agree on the following additional matters pertaining to the disclosure of expert testimony:

F. Confidentiality Agreements —

Whether documents filed in a case may be filed under seal is a separate issue from whether the parties may agree that produced documents are confidential. The Court is a public forum, and disfavors motions to file under seal. The Court will permit the parties to file documents under seal only upon a finding of extraordinary circumstances and particularized need. *See Brown v. Advantage Engineering, Inc.*, 960 F.2d 1013 (11th Cir. 1992); *Wilson v. American Motors Corp.*, 759 F.2d 1568 (11th Cir. 1985). A party seeking to file a document under seal must file a motion to file under seal requesting such Court action, together with a memorandum of law in support. The motion, whether granted or denied, will remain in the public record.

The parties may reach their own agreement regarding the designation of materials as "confidential." There is no need for the Court to endorse the confidentiality agreement. The Court discourages unnecessary stipulated motions for a protective order. The Court will enforce appropriate stipulated and signed confidentiality agreements. *See* Local Rule 4.15. Each confidentiality agreement or order shall provide, or

shall be	e deemed	I to provide, that "no party shall file a document under seal without first having obtained an
		eave to file under seal on a showing of particularized need." With respect to confidentiality
		parties agree as follows:
agreem	ents, the	parties agree as follows.
	G.	Other Matters Regarding Discovery —
	.	Other Hawers Regarding Discovery
v.	Settlen	nent and Alternative Dispute Resolution.
	A.	Settlement —
		The parties agree that settlement is
	likely	unlikely (check one)
		The parties request a settlement conference before a United States Magistrate Judge.
2	yes	no likely to request in future
	В.	Arbitration —
		The Local Rules no longer designate cases for automatic arbitration, but the parties may
elect ar	bitration	in any case. Do the parties agree to arbitrate?
	_ yes	no likely to agree in future
	_ Bindi	ngNon-Binding

C. Mediation —

Absent arbitration or a Court order to the contrary, the parties in every case will participate in Courtannexed mediation as detailed in Chapter Nine of the Court's Local Rules. The parties have agreed on a mediator from the Court's approved list of mediators as set forth in the table above, and have agreed to the date stated in the table above as the last date for mediation. The list of mediators is available from the Clerk, and is posted on the Court's web site at http://www.flmd.uscourts.gov.

D. Other Alternative Dispute Resolution —

The parties intend to pursue the following other methods of alternative dispute resolution:

Date:										
Signature of Counsel Unrepresented Parties.	(with	information	required	by	Local	Rule	1.05(d))	and	Signature	O
			_							