UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

	Plaintiff,
v.	Case No.
	Defendant.

CASE MANAGEMENT REPORT

The parties have agreed on the following dates and discovery plan pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and Local Rule 3.05(c):

DEADLINE OR EVENT	AGREED DATE
Mandatory Initial Disclosures [Approximately 30 days after CMR meeting]	
Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement [Each party who has not previously filed must file immediately]	
Motions to Add Parties or to Amend Pleadings [Approximately 1 - 2 months after CMR meeting]	
Disclosure of Expert Reports Plaintiff: [Approximately 1 - 2 months before discovery deadline to allow expert depositions]	
Discovery Deadline [Approximately 6 - 8 months after defendant's first appearance]	
Dispositive Motions, <i>Daubert</i> , and <i>Markman</i> Motions [Court requires 5 months or more before trial term begins]	
Meeting <i>In Person</i> to Prepare Joint Final Pretrial Statement [Court will set a date]	
Joint Final Pretrial Statement (Including a Single Set of Jointly-Proposed Jury Instructions and Verdict Form, Witness Lists, Exhibit Lists with Objections on Approved Form – all to be emailed in a Word document to chambers at: chambers_flmd_covington@flmd.uscourts.gov.) [Court will set a date]	
All Other Motions Including Motions In Limine [Court requires 2 months before Trial term begins] Final Pretrial Conference	
[Court will set a date]] Trial Term Begins [Trial term must not be less than 5 months after dispositive motions deadline (unless filing of such motions is waived); district judge trial terms begin on the first Monday of each month; trials before magistrate judges will be set on a date certain after consultation with the parties]	

DEADLINE OR EVENT	AGREED DATE
Estimated Length of Trial [Number of trial days]	
Jury / Non-Jury	
Mediation Deadline:	
Proposed Date of Mediation:	
Mediator: Address:	
Telephone:	
[Absent arbitration, mediation is <i>mandatory</i> ; the Court recommends 7 days after th discovery deadline]	e
All Parties Consent to Proceed Before Magistrate Judge	Yes No
	Likely to Agree in Future
Rule $3.05(c)(2)(B)$ or $(c)(3)(A)$, a meeting was held in person or by telephone onattended by:	(date) and was
Name Counsel for (i	f applicable)
Once the parties have met and a case management report has been filed, discovery in this	case can commence.
II. Pre-Discovery Initial Disclosures of Core Information	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A) - (D) Disclosures	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 26, as amended effective December 1, 2000, provides that the	ese disclosures are mandatory in
Track Two and Track Three cases, except as stipulated by the parties or otherwise ordere	d by the Court (the amendment to
Rule 26 supersedes Middle District of Florida Local Rule 3.05, to the extent that Rule	e 3.05 opts out of the mandatory
discovery requirements):	
The parties have exchanged agree to exchange (check one)	
information described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A) - (D)	
¹ A copy of the Local Rules may be viewed at http://www.flmd.uscourts.gov.	

	on	by	(check one)		(date).	
	Below is a descript	tion of informa	tion disclosed or	r scheduled for discle	osure, including electro	nically stored
informat	ion as further describe	ed in Section III	below.			
III.	Electronic Discover	·y				
	The parties have disc	cussed issues re	lating to disclosu	re or discovery of elec	etronically stored inform	ation ("ESI"),
includin	g Pre-Discovery Initia	al Disclosures of	f Core Informatio	n in Section II above,	and agree that (check on	e):
	No party anticip	pates the disclos	sure or discovery	of ESI in this case;		
	One or more of	the parties antic	ipate the disclosu	re or discovery of ESI	in this case.	
If disclo	sure or discovery of E	ESI is sought by	any party from a	nother party, then the f	following issues shall be	discussed: ²
	A. The form or form	ns in which ESI	should be produc	eed.		
	B. Nature and exter	nt of the contem	plated ESI disclo	sure and discovery, in	cluding specification of	the topics for
such dis	covery and the time p	eriod for which	discovery will be	sought		
	C. Whether the prod	duction of metac	data is sought for	any type of ESI, and it	f so, what types of metac	lata.
	D. The various sour	rces of ESI with	in a party's contr	ol that should be search	ched for ESI, and wheth	er either party
has rele	vant ESI that it conte	nds is not reaso	onably accessible	under Rule 26(b)(2)(l	B), and if so, the estima	ted burden or
costs of	retrieving and review	ing that informa	tion.			
	E. The characteris	tics of the part	ty's information	systems that may co	ntain relevant ESI, incl	luding, where
appropri	ate, the identity of inc	dividuals with sp	pecial knowledge	of a party's computer	systems.	
	F. Any issues relating	ng to preservation	on of discoverable	e ESI.		
	G. Assertions of p	orivilege or of	protection as tria	ll-preparation material	s, including whether th	ne parties can
facilitate	e discovery by agreein	ng on procedure	s and, if appropri	ate, an Order under the	e Federal Rules of Evide	nce Rule 502.
If the p	arties agree that a p	protective order	is needed, they	shall attach a copy	of the proposed order	to the Case
Manage	ment Report. The pa	rties should atte	empt to agree on	protocols that minimize	ze the risk of waiver. A	any protective
order sh	all comply with Local	Rule 1.09 and	Section IV. F. bel	ow on Confidentiality	Agreements.	
	H. Whether the disc	covery of ESI sh	ould be conducte	d in phases, limited, or	r focused upon particular	r issues.
Please s	tate if there are any	areas of disagre	eement on these	issues and, if so, sum	marize the parties' pos	ition on each:

² See Generally: *Rules Advisory Committee Notes* to the 2006 Amendments to Rule 26 (f) and Rule 16.

If there	are disputed issues specified above, or elsewhere in this report, then (check one):
	One or more of the parties requests that a preliminary pre-trial conference under Rule 16 be scheduled to
discuss	these issues and explore possible resolutions. Although this will be a non-evidentiary hearing, if technical ES
issues a	are to be addressed, the parties are encouraged to have their information technology experts with them at the
hearing.	
	If a preliminary pre-trial conference is requested, a motion shall also be filed pursuant to Rule 16(a), Fed
R. Civ.	P.
	All parties agree that a hearing is not needed at this time because they expect to be able to promptly resolve
these di	sputes without assistance of the Court.
IV.	Agreed Discovery Plan for Plaintiffs and Defendants
	A. Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement —
	This Court has previously ordered each party, governmental party, intervenor, non-party movant, and Rule 69
garnishe	ee to file and serve a Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement using a mandatory form.
No party	y may seek discovery from any source before filing and serving a Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate
Disclosi	ure Statement. A motion, memorandum, response, or other paper — including emergency motion — is subject
to being	denied or stricken unless the filing party has previously filed and served its Certificate of Interested Persons and
Corpora	ate Disclosure Statement. Any party who has not already filed and served the required certificate is required to do
so imme	ediately.
	Every party that has appeared in this action to date has filed and served a Certificate of Interested Persons and
Corpora	ate Disclosure Statement, which remains current:
	_ Yes
	No Amended Certificate will be filed by (party) on or before
	(date).

B. Discovery Not Filed —

The parties shall not file discovery materials with the Clerk except as provided in Local Rule 3.03. The Court encourages the exchange of discovery requests on diskette. *See* Local Rule 3.03 (f). The parties further agree as follows:

C. Limits on Discovery —

Absent leave of Court, the parties may take no more than ten depositions per side (not per party). Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a)(2)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 31(a)(2)(A); Local Rule 3.02(b). Absent leave of Court, the parties may serve no more than twenty-five interrogatories, including sub-parts. Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a); Local Rule 3.03(a). Absent leave of Court or stipulation of the parties each deposition is limited to one day of seven hours. Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(d)(2). The parties may agree by stipulation on other limits on discovery. The Court will consider the parties' agreed dates, deadlines, and other limits in entering the scheduling order. Fed. R. Civ. P. 29. In addition to the deadlines in the above table, the parties have agreed to further limit discovery as follows:

- 1. Depositions
- 2. Interrogatories
- 3. Document Requests
- 4. Requests to Admit
- 5. Supplementation of Discovery

D. Discovery Deadline —

Each party shall timely serve discovery requests so that the rules allow for a response prior to the discovery deadline. The Court may deny as untimely all motions to compel filed after the discovery deadline. In addition, the parties agree as follows:

E. Disclosure of Expert Testimony —

On or before the dates set forth in the above table for the disclosure of expert reports, the parties agree to fully comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) and 26(e). Expert testimony on direct examination at trial will be limited to the opinions, basis, reasons, data, and other information disclosed in the written expert report disclosed pursuant to this order.

Failure to disclose such information may result in the exclusion of all or part of the testimony of the expert witness. The parties agree on the following additional matters pertaining to the disclosure of expert testimony:

F. Confidentiality Agreements —

Whether documents filed in a case may be filed under seal is a separate issue from whether the parties may agree that produced documents are confidential. The Court is a public forum, and disfavors motions to file under seal. The Court will permit the parties to file documents under seal only upon a finding of extraordinary circumstances and particularized need. *See Brown v. Advantage Engineering, Inc.*, 960 F.2d 1013 (11th Cir. 1992); *Wilson v. American Motors Corp.*, 759 F.2d 1568 (11th Cir. 1985). A party seeking to file a document under seal must file a motion to file under seal requesting such Court action, together with a memorandum of law in support. The motion, whether granted or denied, will remain in the public record.

The parties may reach their own agreement regarding the designation of materials as "confidential." There is no need for the Court to endorse the confidentiality agreement. The Court discourages unnecessary stipulated motions for a protective order. The Court will enforce appropriate stipulated and signed confidentiality agreements. *See* Local Rule 4.15. Each confidentiality agreement or order shall provide, or shall be deemed to provide, that "no party shall file a document under seal without first having obtained an order granting leave to file under seal on a showing of particularized need." With respect to confidentiality agreements, the parties agree as follows:

G. Other Matters Regarding Discovery —

Settlement and Alternative Dispute Resolution.

V.

A. Settlement — The parties agree that settlement is _____ likely _____ unlikely (check one) The parties request a settlement conference before a United States Magistrate Judge. _____ yes ____ no likely to request in future _____ B. Arbitration — The Local Rules no longer designate cases for automatic arbitration, but the parties may elect arbitration in any case. Do the parties agree to arbitrate?

_____ yes _____ no _____ likely to agree in future _____

Bindin	gNon-Binding
С.	Mediation —
	Absent arbitration or a Court order to the contrary, the parties in every case will participate in Court-
annexed mediati	on as detailed in Chapter Nine of the Court's Local Rules. The parties have agreed on a mediator from the
Court's approve	d list of mediators as set forth in the table above, and have agreed to the date stated in the table above as
the last date for	mediation. The list of mediators is available from the Clerk, and is posted on the Court's web site at
http://www.flmo	Luscourts.gov.
D.	Other Alternative Dispute Resolution —
The pa	rties intend to pursue the following other methods of alternative dispute resolution:
Date:	
Signature of Co.	unsel (with information required by Local Rule 1.05(d)) and Signature of Unrepresented Parties.
Signature of Co	anser (with information required by Local Rule 1.05(d)) and originature of omepresented 1 arties.