

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
ORLANDO DIVISION**

**IN RE: Seroquel Products Liability
Litigation.**

Case No. 6:06-md-1769-Orl-22DAB

ORDER

This cause came on for consideration with oral argument on the following motions filed herein:

MOTION: PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SANCTIONS DUE TO MISCONDUCT IN CASE-SPECIFIC DISCOVERY (Doc. No. 604)

FILED: October 24, 2007

THEREON it is ORDERED that the ruling on the Motion is **DEFERRED** pending the report from the Special Master-PMO, expected on November 19, 2007.

MOTION: ASTRAZENECA'S MOTION TO COMPEL PLAINTIFFS TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS IN THEIR POSSESSION (Doc. No. 620)

FILED: October 29, 2007

THEREON it is ORDERED that the ruling on the Motion is **DEFERRED** pending the report from the Special Master-PMO.

MOTION: PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AN ORDER DEEMING THE PLAINTIFFS' PRIOR MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AS HAVING BEEN FILED IN CERTAIN CASES (Doc. No. 591)

FILED: October 19, 2007

THEREON it is ORDERED that the Motion is **GRANTED** in part. All issues as what sanctions may be appropriate will be considered with respect to all cases.

MOTION: ASTRAZENECA'S MOTION SEEKING A "LONE PINE" ORDER REQUIRING EACH PLAINTIFF TO PRODUCE A CASE-SPECIFIC EXPERT REPORT ON INJURY AND CAUSATION (Doc. No. 618)

FILED: October 29, 2007

THEREON it is **ORDERED** that the motion is **DENIED** as premature.

MOTION: PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO LODGE ALLEGEDLY "CONFIDENTIAL" MATERIALS AND FOR A DETERMINATION REGARDING CONFIDENTIALITY (Doc. No. 610, as supplemented by Doc. No. 635)

FILED: October 25, 2007

THEREON it is **ORDERED** that the motion is **GRANTED** insofar as it seeks leave to submit the documents still claimed to be entitled to confidentiality protection for *in camera* review. Plaintiffs should submit for review only those items it actually intends to file in support of some pertinent matter and only those Defendant still maintains are entitled to protection.

MOTION: ASTRAZENECA'S CORRECTED MOTION REGARDING THE SCHEDULING AND SCOPE OF FUTURE DISCOVERY (Doc. No. 623)

FILED: October 29, 2007

THEREON it is **ORDERED** that the motion is **DENIED** as moot. The Court will be entering a separate Report and Recommendation as to the schedule of future discovery.

MOTION: PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDANTS' RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (Doc. No. 629)

FILED: October 30, 2007

THEREON it is **ORDERED** that a ruling on the Motion is **DEFERRED** until the December 18, 2007 status conference. The parties are **ORDERED** to meet and confer and file a statement of narrowed issues by December 14, 2007.

MOTION: MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER (Doc. No. 657)

FILED: November 5, 2007

THEREON it is **ORDERED** that the motion is **GRANTED** to the extent not moot.

MOTION: ASTRAZENECA'S PROPOSAL FOR ALLOCATION OF SM-ESI FEES (Doc. No. 681)

FILED: November 13, 2007

THEREON it is **ORDERED** that the motion is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part. The allocation of fees for the SM-ESI will be split as follows: Plaintiffs to pay 10% of the fees; Astrazeneca to pay 90% of the fees.

MOTION: PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSAL FOR ALLOCATION OF SM-ESI FEES (Doc. No. 683)

FILED: November 13, 2007

THEREON it is **ORDERED** that the motion is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part. *See above.*

As to the production of documents reviewed by Astrazeneca's corporate representatives prior to their depositions, the following briefing schedule will apply:

1. Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel and Memorandum of Law is due by November 21, 2007;
2. Astrazeneca's Response is due by November 30, 2007;
3. The briefing of both parties is limited to ten pages.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Orlando, Florida on November 16, 2007.

David A. Baker

DAVID A. BAKER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record